

United States District Court  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JIMMY ROBERT PETHERS

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING  
REVOCATION HEARING

Case Number: 1:05-CR-170

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending revocation hearing in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is

☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_

☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternate Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense

☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternate Findings (B)

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant has twice been convicted of distributing methamphetamine and twice been on supervised release to this court. He has gone through a number of recovery programs. Although he has a good record of reporting and employment, and has been testing negative, in this instance he tested positive for methamphetamine. At first, defendant denied taking any drugs. When confronted with drug test results, he admitted taking someone else's medication which he said caused the test to come back positive for (continued on attachment)

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

Defendant has failed to show by clear and convincing evidence that there are conditions that will assure the safety of the community, namely his own safety from spiraling down into further use of methamphetamine, since there is probable cause to believe that he has recently relapsed and has not been honest about it. Hopefully the lab results are incorrect, but there is no reason to believe they are.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: February 22, 2012

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq. ); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq. ); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

United States v. Jimmy Robert Pethers  
1:05-CR-170

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING**

Page 2.

**Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)**

methamphetamine. The lab technician has assured the probation officer that this is not possible. Thus, the evidence before the court is sufficient to establish that there is probable cause to believe the defendant has once again started using methamphetamine, and has not been truthful about that with the probation officer.